

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/927,134	08/10/2001	Robert Bertram Ogle JR.	M-7525 US	4250
759	90 10/16/2002			
Skjerven Morrill Macpherson LLP			EXAMINER	
Suite 700			WEISS, HOWARD	
25 Metro Drive				
San Francisco, CA 95110			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2814	
			DATE MAILED: 10/16/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/927,134	OGLE ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Howard Weiss	2814
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 A</u>	August 2002 .	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowatelosed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-19</u> ts/are pending in the application	ı .	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-19</u> s(are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 August 2002</u> is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to b	y the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)⊠ The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>06 Au</u>	gust 2002 is: a)⊠ approved b)[disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	oly to this Office action.	
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No
Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	•	
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro	visional application has been rec	eived.
Attachment(s)	, ,	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and Trademark Office		

Application/Control Number: 09/927,134

Art Unit: 2814

Attorney's Docket Number: M-7525 US

Filing Date: 8/10/01

Continuing Data: Claims benefit of Provisional Application 60/224,658 (8/11/00)

Claimed Foreign Priority Date: none

Applicant(s): Ogle, Jr. et al. (Halliyal)

Examiner: Howard Weiss

Drawings

1. The corrected or substitute drawings were received on 8/6/02. These drawings are approved.

Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On Page 1 Lines 16 and 19, docket numbers should be replaced with the Application Numbers of the referenced Applications. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).
- 4. Claims 2 to 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ogle, Jr. et al. (U. S. Patent No. 6.306,777).

Ogle, Jr. et al. show all aspects of the instant invention (e.g. Figure 4.0 and Column 3 Line 40 to Column 4 Line 55) including:

Application/Control Number: 09/927,134

Art Unit: 2814

> forming a second silicon dioxide layer 63 by thermally depositing said second silicon dioxide layer on a silicon nitride layer 62

- > annealing the resultant ONO layer **60** using either using a single wafer RTA tool or a batch furnace in the time and temperature ranges claimed
- ➤ using either NO or N₂O in a gas mixture between 5% to 100% and carrier gases of argon, nitrogen and oxygen either separately or in combination

Double Patenting

5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

6. Claims 2 and 11 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over Claims 1, 2 and 6 of copending Application No. 09/927,133. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they claim an ONO stack annealed at the same temperatures and times using either an RTA tool or batch furnace.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/927,134

Art Unit: 2814

7. Claims 2 and 11 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over Claims 1, 2 and 7 of copending Application No. 09/927,988. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they claim an ONO stack annealed at the same temperatures and times using either an RTA tool or batch furnace.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Conclusion

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Kluth et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,376,341) and Chang et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,074,917) show similar processes as the instant invention and Vaartstra (U.S. Patent No. 6,368,398) teach to use combinations of carrier gases during annealing.
- 9. Papers related to this application may be submitted directly to Art Unit 2814 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Art Unit 2814 via the Art Unit 2814 Fax Center located in Crystal Plaza 4, room 3C23. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (15 November 1989). The Art Unit 2814 Fax Center number is (703) 308-7722 or -7724. The Art Unit 2814 Fax Center is to be used only for papers related to Art Unit 2814 applications.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Howard Weiss at **(703)** 308-4840 and between the hours of 8:00 AM to 4:00 PM (Eastern Standard Time) Monday through Friday or by e-mail via Howard.Weiss@uspto.gov.

Application/Control Number: 09/927,134

Art Unit: 2814

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group 2800 Receptionist at **(703) 308-0956**.

11. The following list is the Examiner's field of search for the present Office Action:

Field of Search	Date
U.S. Class / Subclass(es): 438/261, 591	thru 10/8/02
Other Documentation: 09/927,988; 09/927,134	10/8/02
Electronic Database(s): EAST, IEL	thru 10/8/02

Howard Weiss

Examiner Art Unit 2814

HW/hw 9 October 2002